



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,407	11/25/2003	Ken Endelman	40070.15US01	2667

23552 7590 12/01/2004

MERCHANT & GOULD PC
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

AMERSON, LORI BAKER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3764

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/723,407

Applicant(s)

ENDELMAN ET AL.

Examiner

L. Amerson

Art Unit

3764

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-18, 20-29, 32-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 15, 19, 30 and 31 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 74. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "64" has been identified as a "stop member" and "stop arm". Also, "18" has been identified as a "rail member" and "track member". Consistency naming reference numerals is required. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3764

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

a. Claims 1, 8, 21 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Endelman. Endelman discloses a frame having a head and foot end (12,14,16), a pair of spaced apart parallel track members (18), a moveable carriage (22), a plurality of elongated elastic members (30) and an elastic member anchor bar and carriage stop assembly (62,64). The track members are tubular with a rectangular cross section (fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

b. Claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-18, 20, 22-27 and 29, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endelman '425 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Liao. Endelman discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for tenons. Thus, Liao teaches tenons (14) having parallel sides where a track has an elongated slot (21) to receive the tenons (fig. 3). The tenon is rectangular in cross section (fig. 2). The slot in the track has spaced stop portions (fig. 3). Each parallel side of the tenon is parallel to the slot in the track where they engage the slot and opposite sides of the tenon are parallel to the slot in the track (figs. 2-3). Regarding the language, "defining

spaced locked positions", "wherein the anchor bar is operable to move between locked positions only when said anchor bar is rotated to an unlocked position", "only when the anchor bar is in the unlocked position", "in the locked position" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claim 16, '425 discloses a track with a tubular shape having a slot (fig. 3) parallel to the track and an anchor bar with opposite ends (fig. 4) that extends into the slot where the slot has a plurality of spaced positions engaging the bar (fig. 3-4). Regarding the language "to prevent movement along the slot when the anchor bar is in a locked position" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claims 17-18, Figure 5 of Liao show each end of the bar having a tenon and engaging the bar. Regarding the language "in the locked position" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure. As to claim 32, Endelman discloses a latching mechanism (80)

c. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endelman 2003/0195095. Endelman discloses a frame, a movable carriage (fig. 1) having a set of support rollers and guide members (fig. 14), and a plurality of elastic members (24). As to claim 34, the device includes an anchor bar and carriage assembly and track members (fig. 1). As to claim 35, see the paragraph for claim 8 above.

d. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endelman '704. Endelman discloses a frame, movable carriage, plurality of elastic members and adjustable foot bar assembly mounted to a frame in a pair of slots (figs. 11-13). Regarding the language "positioned parallel to said track members wherein said slots extend along an outer wall of said frame to selectively position said foot bar assembly at any one of a plurality of spaced positions from said foot end to said head end of said frame" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation is purely functional in nature and does not recite any structure

5. Claims 15, 19, 30-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5576. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 8-5 p.m. Interviews Tue. And Thur.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 703-308-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Art Unit: 3764

more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "L. Amerson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

L. Amerson